ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Luminescence

TARGET AND A COMPANY OF THE SECOND OF THE SE

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jlumin

Full Length Article

Revealing natural fluorescence in transparent insect wings by linear and nonlinear optical techniques

Sébastien R. Mouchet ^{a,b,1,*}, Charlotte Verstraete ^{c,1}, Bojana Bokic ^d, Dimitrije Mara ^{c,e,f}, Louis Dellieu ^b, Albert G. Orr ^g, Olivier Deparis ^b, Rik Van Deun ^e, Thierry Verbiest ^c, Pete Vukusic ^{a,**}, Branko Kolaric ^{d,h}

^a School of Physics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter, EX4 4QL United Kingdom

^b Department of Physics & Namur Institute of Structured Matter (NISM), University of Namur, Rue de Bruxelles 61, 5000 Namur, Belgium

^c Molecular Imaging and Photonics, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

- ^d Center for Photonics, Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia
- ^e L³ Luminescent Lanthanide Lab, Department of Chemistry, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281-S3, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

^f Institute of General and Physical Chemistry, Studentski trg 12/V, 11158 Belgrade, Serbia

^g Environmental Futures Centre, Griffith University, Nathan, QLD 4111, Australia

^h Micro- and Nanophotonic Materials Group, University of Mons, Place du Parc 20, 7000 Mons, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Fluorescence Nonlinear optics Two-photon fluorescence SHG Insect Cicada Resilin

ABSTRACT

For most natural organisms, the physical, chemical and biological aspects of fluorescence emission are poorly understood. For example, to the best of our knowledge, fluorescence from the transparent wings of any of the 3000 known species of cicadas has never been reported in the literature. These wings are known to exhibit anti-reflective properties arising from quasi-periodic arrays of nipples. Our study, using linear and nonlinear optical techniques, including spectrofluorimetry, two-photon fluorescence spectroscopy and Second Harmonic Generation (SHG), reveals the fluorescence properties in the wings the grey and the common cicadas (*Cicada orni* and *Lyristes (Tibicen) plebejus*, respectively), as well as the broad-bordered bee hawk-moth (*Hemaris fuciformis*). The study suggests that fluorescence would be more widespread in transparent insect wings than what was previously believed. Comparing this result to the fluorescence emission from the wings of the Bornean damselfly (*Vestalis amabilis*), we inferred that this emission probably arises from resilin, a protein reported to enhance wing flexibility. Moreover, the nonlinear optical investigation of the insects' wings provided further insight into wing structure, indicating that multiphoton techniques add valuable information for the analysis of insect integuments. The strong SHG signal detected from the wing veins implies that these veins are materially organised in a non-centrosymmetric and hence non-random fashion.

1. Introduction

Fluorescence emission takes place in the integument of several natural organisms including species from the animal classes Insecta, Aves, Amphibia, Reptilia and Mammalia as well as the plant kingdom [1–21]. This emission of light has been shown to play a role in visual communication of some organisms, facilitating species recognition, mate selection, prey detection, camouflage and agonistic behaviour [7,11]. Fluorescence results from the presence of fluorophores, such as papiliochrome II, biopterin, psittacofulvin, or green fluorescent protein (GFP) within the biological integuments. Following the absorption of incident light (typically in the UV or the shorter-wavelength part of the visible range), these molecules emit light at a longer wavelength (usually in the visible), resulting from transitions between their electron states. In spite of the crucial role that it is believed to play in nature, fluorescence in natural organisms remains under-investigated from optical, chemical and biological perspectives. One example is the transparent wings of certain insects of the order Hemiptera. For example, in

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2022.119490

Received 2 June 2022; Received in revised form 25 October 2022; Accepted 1 November 2022 Available online 11 November 2022 0022-2313/© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V.



^{*} Corresponding author. School of Physics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QL, United Kingdom.

^{**} Corresponding author. School of Physics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QL, United Kingdom.

E-mail addresses: s.mouchet@exeter.ac.uk (S.R. Mouchet), P.Vukusic@exeter.ac.uk (P. Vukusic).

¹ Co-shared first authorship.

both males and females of many cicadas, both pairs of wings are known for their anti-reflective properties [21–26] arising from electromagnetic impedance matching between the air and the wing material covered by quasi-periodic arrays of hexagonally close-packed protrusions, often referred to as nipples (Fig. 1a and b). Similar structures also cover parts of the integument in other organisms such as the wings of several moths and butterflies (Order Lepidoptera), dragonflies and damselflies (Order Odonata) (Fig. 1c-f) [21,25,27-35] and the corneas of some insect eves [36,37]. In all these examples, the basic building material of the insect tissues is chitin, a polysaccharide, overlaid by a thin epicuticle of wax. In cicadas, transmittance spectra of their wings have been measured to reach more than 90% and to peak at up to 98% over the range from 500 to 2500 nm [22,23]. These anti-reflective properties are thought to be involved as part of the insects' strategy for crypsis and the nanostructured protrusions have been mimicked for the development of anti-reflective coatings for a full range of applications such as solar cells,

screens, anti-glare glasses, light-sensitive detectors, telescopes and camera lenses [21,38-40]. In addition to this optical property, the transparent wings of insects are known to be hydrophobic [23-25, 41-44] and some exhibit anti-bacterial properties [44-48]. In the case of cicadas, the surface morphology was found to influence jointly the hydrophobic and anti-reflective properties (Fig. 1) [24,25]. The protrusions on the wing surface can be modelled as truncated cones covered by hemispheres. The cone shape affects the transparency and the hemispheres enhance the wing's hydrophobicity. Despite these properties, which are relevant in many scientific fields ranging from physics and material science to chemistry and biology, to the best of our knowledge fluorescence emission has so far not been reported, to the best of our knowledge, in any of the 3000 described species of the superfamily Cicadoidea. The phenomenon has however been investigated in several butterfly and moth species, in which the coloured wing scales are known to embed fluorophores such as papiliochrome II [3,6,49-52].



Fig. 1. The transparent parts of the wings of insects including the grey cicada Cicadaorni(a,b), the broad-bordered bee hawk-mothHemaris fuciformis(c,d) and the dragonfly blue hawkerAeshna cyanea(e,f) are covered by arrays of protrusions. These protrusions are sometimes displayed along a quasi-periodic hexagonally close-packed array such as on the wings of *C. orni* (a,b) and *H. fuciformis* (c) or a disordered pattern such as in the case of *A. cyanea* (e), as observed here by scanning electron microscopy (a-c,e-f) and transmission electron microscopy (d) with top views (a,c), oblique views (b,e) and views of cross-sections (d,f). Figures (a,b) and (d) were reproduced (a,b) from Ref. [24] with permission from AIP publishing and (d) from Ref. [30] with permission from Springer Nature.

Photonic structures present in these scales sometimes mediate the associated light emission [53-55]. Fluorescence has also been described in the vein joints and the membrane of the transparent wings of damselflies and dragonflies [56-59]. This emission was attributed to the presence of autofluorescent proteins such as resilin. Resilin proteins emit blue light (at about 400 nm) under incident UV light and were reported to decrease in concentration toward the distal regions of the wings (on both sides) [56,57]. They give rise to low stiffness and high strain in biological tissues, allowing passive wing deformations [60,61]. We note that resilin fluorescence may often be incidental with little or no semiotic function. However, in the case of males of the red-winged damselfly Mnesarete pudica (Hagen in Selys, 1853), fluorescence emission from resilin-containing wings was demonstrated to have a role in signalling (e.g., in courtship and territorial behaviour) in combination with pigments and UV reflection, by modulating the visual appearance of the insect according to sex and age [62].

In this article, we characterised the fluorescence emission from the transparent wings of two species of cicadas, the grey cicada Cicada *orni* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Fig. 2a) and the common cicada *Lyristes (Tibicen) plebejus* (Scopoli, 1763), using both linear and nonlinear optical methods. We compared their properties with the fluorescence emission from two other insects exhibiting transparent wings, namely, *Hemaris fuciformis* (Linnaeus, 1758) commonly known as the broad-bordered bee hawk-moth (Fig. 2b) and the Bornean damselfly *Vestalis amabilis* (Lieftinck, 1965) (Fig. 2c). These fluorescent properties were optically characterised by one- and multi-photon microscopy and spectrometry. The one-photon fluorescence techniques allowed us to explain the previously measured high absorption in the range 300–400 nm [24] and to infer the biological role of the fluorophores in the visual perception of insects. Multi-photon techniques enabled us to highlight the symmetry properties of the material within the wings.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Adult specimens of *C. orni* and *L. plebejus* were collected in Fournès in the Gard Department (France) on the June 22, 2014 (by S.R. Mouchet and L. Dellieu), and *V. amabilis* was collected in swamp forest in Belait Division (Brunei Darussalam) in 1994 (by A.G. Orr). Adult specimens of *H. fuciformis* were bought from licensed vendors. *V. amabilis* was immersed for 24 h in acetone and air-dried. Other specimens were airdried. No further sample preparation was necessary for the microscopy and spectrofluorimetry observations. All analyses were performed on the insects' wings.

2.2. Optical and fluorescence microscopy

The sample wings were analysed using two different configurations of the microscope: a reflection mode (illumination with visible light and observation in the visible range) and a fluorescence mode (illumination with ultraviolet light and observation in the visible range). Optical microscopy analysis was performed using an Olympus BX61 (Tokyo, Japan) microscope, an Olympus XC50 camera and an Olympus BX-UCB visible light source (in reflection mode) or a Lumen Dynamics X-cite Series 120 PCQ (Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) UV-lamp (in fluorescence mode).

2.3. Spectrofluorimetry

One-photon fluorescence (1 PF) emission spectra were recorded using a 450 W xenon lamp as steady state excitation source. The incident light formed a 45° angle with the normal direction to the sample surface and emitted light was detected at a 45° angle on the other side of the normal direction. The sample was excited at different excitation wavelengths: 320 nm, 340 nm, 360 nm, 380 nm, 400 nm, 420 nm, and 440 nm. Time-resolved measurements of fluoresence emission were performed using a microF920H xenon Flashlamp light source operating at a frequency of 100 Hz with a pulse width of 4 μ s and excitation wavelengths equal to 340 nm and 360 nm. These time-resolved measurements were performed at the wavelengths of the maximum of emission peak intensity. They were fitted to double exponential functions. These best fits allowed us to assess the decay time of the fluorescence emission.

2.4. Multiphoton microscopy

Multiphoton microscopy experiments were carried out with an Olympus BX61 WI-FV1200-M (Münster, Germany) system. The laser was a Spectra-Physics (Santa Clara, CA, USA) InSight DS+ laser (82-MHz repetition rate, 120-fs pulse width, p-polarised) at 800 nm, 900 nm, 1000 nm, and 1100 nm fundamental wavelengths. The incident laser power was controlled by an achromatic half-wave plate and a s-oriented polariser directly after the laser. The laser beam was focused on the sample via either a 15× LMV objective (NA 0.3) or a 50× SLMPlan N objective (NA 0.35). The resulting signal was detected non-descanned in backwards reflection via a Hamamatsu R3896 photomultiplier tube. Different detection optics were used, depending on the excitation wavelength, in order to separate the two-photon fluorescence (2 PF) and the Second Harmonic Generation (SHG) signal. At 1000 nm, a 525 LPXR dichroic mirror was used to remove the SHG from the 2 PF, and an additional 500/10 bandpass filter cleared the SHG signal further. Similar filters were used for the other three fundamental wavelengths. The signal at each pixel was depicted in the micrographs as different intensities in false green (SHG) or false red (2 PF) colours. A routine providing an extended depth field allowed us to obtain in-focus micrographs from multiple images recorded at different depths in steps of 4 µm. Samples were observed over several minutes of time, in order to assess the photostability.

2.5. Electron microscopy

Apart from two scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images taken



Fig. 2. The wings of the grey cicadaC. orni(a), the broad-bordered bee hawk-mothH. fuciformis(b) and the damselflyV. amabilis(c) exhibit transparent wings.

from the literature [24], SEM observations were performed with a FEI (Hillsboro, OR) Nova 600 NanoLab Dual-Beam FIB/SEM microscope on small transparent regions of the wing membranes of *H. fuciformis* and *A. cyanea*. These samples were mounted onto SEM stubs with electrically conducting epoxy resin and sputter-coated with ca. 8 nm of gold palladium.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging was carried on with cross-sections of transparent regions of *H. fuciformis*'s wings using a JEOL (Tokyo, Japan) 100S TEM instrument. The figure was previously published in Ref. [30]. The samples were fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde at 21°C for 2 h and consequently rinsed in sodium cacodylate buffer before being fixed in 1% osmic acid in buffer for 1 h. The samples were then block-stained in 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 h, dehydrated through

an acetone series (ending in 100% acetone) and embedded in Spurr resin [63]. After microtoming, cross-sections were stained with lead citrate.

3. Results and discussion

Both *C. orni* and *L. plebejus* cicada species have brown-grey bodies and transparent wings (Fig. 2) with very low light reflection [21–26]. The bodies and wings are approximately 25 mm and 30–35 mm long, respectively. Like most insects including moths and damselflies, the wings of cicadas are divided by veins (Fig. 3a–d) appearing as darker structures forming the structurally flexible frame supporting of the wing membranes, while the transparent parts are membranes that form the main aerodynamic surface of the wing [60,64].



4

Fig. 3. The membranes and the veins of the transparent wings of the grey cicada (*C. orni*), the common cicada (*L. plebejus*), the broad-bordered bee hawk-moth (*H. fuciformis*) and *V. amabilis* damselfly emit light by fluorescence under UV irradiation. The transparent wings of *C. orni* (a), *L. plebejus* (b), *H. fuciformis* (c) and *V. amabilis* (d) are divided by brown veins. Both their transparent membranes and the veins of the wings emit visible light under UV incident light (e–h). The 1 PF signal from the veins of the cicada species (e,f) is especially intense.

Fluorescent microscopy observations indicate that both the transparent membrane and the veins of the wings fluoresce (Fig. 3). The latter are clearly brighter in the two investigated cicada species (Fig. 3e and f), indicating that they might contain many more fluorescent molecules than the membrane. Chitin is known to give rise to autofluorescence, with a very low quantum yield [65]. In addition, autofluorescent proteins such as resilin are known to be at the origin of blue hue fluorescence emission from damselfly and dragonfly species [56–58,62]. Hence both chitin and resilin are likely to play a role in the fluorescence emission observed from investigated cicada and moth species (Fig. 3e-g). It was previously reported that the extinction coefficient κ -namely, the imaginary part of the complex refractive index- of the wing material of C. orni exhibits a double peak in the UV range 200–300 nm, reaching ca. $\kappa = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ and $\kappa = 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$, with a non-negligible component in the range 300-400 nm [24]. Similarly, Azofeifa et al. measured peaks at 280 nm and 325 nm in the extinction coefficient for chitin samples from the exoskeleton of fresh Pacific white shrimps Litopenaeus (formerly Penaeus) vannamei (Boone, 1931) [66].

Our results show that light absorption in the wings of insects such as cicadas within the range 300-400 nm gives rise to fluorescence emission. In all four investigated insect wings, fluorescence emission single peaks were measured upon excitation with wavelengths ranging from 320 nm to 440 nm (Fig. 4). For instance, the measured emission spectra display peaks at about 410-420 nm with a 360-nm excitation wavelength. In addition, a 340-nm excitation wavelength appears to give rise to the most intense emission. The presence of a single peak in the emission spectra suggests that there is likely only a single type of fluorophore within the insect wings. The presence of mixtures of several fluorophores is less likely as this would imply emission spectra with multiple peaks. The measured emission peaks for all four insect wings are similar to the fluorescence emission measured by Chuang et al. from the wings of the damselfly Ischnura senegalensis (Rambur, 1842) [59]. Despite the fact that our observations are significantly different from the fluorescence response from the male neotropical damselfly M. pudica (i. e., emission at ca. 650 nm upon excitation at 405 nm) [62], the wings of which are known to contain resilin, the emission peaks that we measured are in agreement with the emission band of resilin located at ca. 415 nm [58,67].

In addition, the emission peak widths and positions strongly depend on the excitation wavelength (Fig. 4). The peak position shifts from ca. 380 nm to ca. 490 nm as the excitation wavelength is increased from 320 nm to 440 nm. This dependence is similar to the previously reported one for males of the beetle *Hoplia coerulea* (Drury, 1773) [14]. It suggests that the fluorophores could exhibit complex dynamics with several excited states. In complex organic fluorophores, several excited states are likely related to exciton resonances (i.e., energy transfers between different donor and acceptor groups within the same molecule) [14,68, 69].

In order to understand better the radiative response in the linear regime, we performed time-resolved measurement of the emitted fluorescence intensity (Fig. 5).

In all cases (Table 1), the best fits to double exponential functions gave rise to a long decay time (of ca. 7–8 ns) and a short decay time (of ca. 1.1–1.3 ns), whatever the excitation and detection wavelengths were. This also suggests that only one type of fluorophores is present in the wings of each insect. The long decay time is related to the radiative decay of the fluorophores, whereas the short one is related to non-radiative decay [70]. If we assume that measured fluorescent signals originate from the same molecule (namely, resilin), the discrepancy observed in the emission spectra and decay time among the investigated samples could be attributed to differences in the chemical environment among the various species.

In addition, both *C. orni* and *L. plebejus* were probed at fundamental wavelengths ranging from 800 nm to 1100 nm, and showed significant SHG and 2 PF signal response over all this wavelength range (see Fig. 6 at a 1000-nm wavelength). At any of these selected fundamental wavelengths, the veins clearly appear in both SHG and 2 PF observations. The difference in signals between the veins and the membrane (in 1 PF, 2 PF, and SHG) is likely due to the significant difference in composition [56,57,64]: the veins of cicadas would show a much higher



Fig. 4. Fluorescence emission from the transparent wings of a) *C. orni*, b) *L. plebejus*, c) *H. fuciformis*, d) *V. amabilis*. The emission peak widths, intensities and positions strongly depend on the excitation wavelength and are in agreement with the narrow emission band of resilin reported in the literature [67].



Fig. 5. Time-resolved measurement of the emission intensity of the fluorophores embedded in the wings of *H. fuciformis* (black curve). The measurements were performed with an excitation wavelength at 340 nm and an emission wavelength at 401 nm. The best fit to the decay is a double exponential function (red curve).

Table 1

Decay times of the fluorophores embedded within the transparent wings of *C. orni, L. plebejus, H. fuciformis* and *V. amabilis.* Measurements were performed at excitation wavelengths equal to 340 nm and 360 nm, and emission wavelengths lying within the emission peaks.

Species	Excitation	Emission	Decay time
	Wavelength [nm]	Wavelength [nm]	[ps]
Cicada orni	340	385	$t_1 = 1271.23$
			$t_2 = 8933.32$
	340	403	$t_1 = 1309.57$
			$t_2 = 9272.42$
	360	388	$t_1 = 1192.96$
			$t_2 = 8508.55$
	360	406	$t_1 = 1237.31$
			$t_2 = 8831.80$
Lyristes (Tibicen) plebejus	340	384	$t_1 = 1372.48$
			$t_2 = 8288.30$
	340	402	$t_1 = 1184.63$
			$t_2 = 7688.22$
	360	387	$t_1 = 1107.87$
			$t_2 = 7708.26$
	360	406	$t_1 = 1328.55$
			$t_2 = 8299.55$
Hemaris fuciformis	340	384	$t_1 = 1322.55$
		104	$t_2 = 8249.07$
	340	401	$t_1 = 12/8.86$
	0.40	405	$t_2 = 8149.58$
	340	425	$t_1 = 1313.99$
	0.00	107	$t_2 = 8491.21$
	360	406	$t_1 = 1298.20$
	260	404	$t_2 = 7997.02$
	300	424	$l_1 = 1284.24$
	360	451	$l_2 = 6202.70$ $t_1 = 1245.23$
	300	451	$t_1 = 1245.25$ $t_2 = 8126.60$
Vestalis amabilis	340	384	$t_2 = 0.120.00$ $t_2 = 1.235.50$
vestutis untustits	540	504	$t_1 = 1253.50$ $t_2 = 7578.67$
	340	402	$t_2 = 1374.48$
	010	102	$t_1 = 107 1.10$ $t_2 = 8060.57$
	340	425	$t_1 = 1338.49$
			$t_2 = 8220.30$
	360	387	$t_1 = 1326.02$
			$t_2 = 8226.46$
	360	406	$t_1 = 1203.75$
			$t_2 = 7596.25$
	360	450	$t_1 = 1119.60$
			$t_2 = 7841.73$

concentration in chitin and the fluorescent compounds. The former is known to give rise to an SHG signal [71]. Additionally, the veins are much thicker than the membrane, leading to an increased amount of material. Optical second-order behaviours such as SHG are known to take place exclusively in non-centrosymmetric media [72]. The detected SHG signal implies that the veins are materially organised in a non-centrosymmetric (and hence non-random) way.

From a visual point of view, the biological significance of fluorescence emission in the transparent wings of insects depends on the total number of photons emitted or scattered from the wings and transmitted through the wings from their backgrounds. Many cicadas are known to be mainly active during the hottest hours of summer days, when sunlight is very intense (but may also be active at twilight and at night). Although the measured fluorescence emission ranges match the range of both scotopic and photopic photoreceptors of many insects [73–76], it is hard to conclude that the measured 1 PF signal plays any role in cicadas' behaviour since the quantum yield of natural fluorophores are generally low [4,5,12], especially that of chitin [65], and the ratio of UV light in both sun- and moonlight is very limited with respect to that of visible light (for instance, ca. 6% of sunlight at sea level lies in the UV range, whereas about 50% is visible) [77]. The role of this fluorescence emission may possibly be a by-product of another biological function such as photoprotection due to the increased absorption of UV by the fluorophores (for example, when the wings are at rest over the insect's body in the case of cicadas) and incidental property of resilin that enhances wing flexibility.

4. Conclusion

Transparent wings of two cicada species, namely C. orni, L. plebejus, as well as the broad-bordered bee hawk-moth H. fuciformis, were demonstrated to emit light under incident UV light by fluorescence decay. All of them showed similar 1 PF properties, as their wings were excited in the 320-440 nm range and emitted in the range 380-490 nm. They were compared to the transparent wings of the damselfly V. amabilis, which showed similar fluorescent behaviours. Damselflies fluorescence emission is reported to arise from substances such as resilin embedded in their tissues. Additionally, the wings of both cicada species and more specifically their veins showed strong SHG and 2 PF signals, which are possibly due to the higher concentration of resilin or chitin in the veins with respect to the membrane that makes up most of the wing. The nonlinear optical characterisation of the cicadas' wings gives us more insight into the wing structure, indicating that multiphoton techniques add valuable information while characterising biological samples.

Author statement

Sébastien R. Mouchet: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualisation, Project administration; Charlotte Verstraete: Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualisation, Project administration, Funding acquisition; Bojana Bokic: Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualisation, Funding acquisition; Dimitrije Mara: Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualisation, Funding acquisition; Louis Dellieu: Resources, Writing - Review & Editing; Albert G. Orr: Resources, Writing - Review & Editing; Olivier Deparis: Writing -Review & Editing, Rik Van Deun: Writing - Review & Editing, Thierry Verbiest: Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; Pete Vukusic: Conceptualisation, Investigation, Resources, Writing -Review & Editing; and Branko Kolaric: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition



Fig. 6. Strong SHG and 2 PF signals are observed from the veins of the wings. Multiphoton micrographs of *C. orni* (top) and *L. plebejus* (bottom) at a fundamental wavelength of 1000 nm. Left: SHG response. Right: 2 PF response. Laser power: 200 mW (*C. orni*) and 80 mW (*L. plebejus*). Scale bars: 200 µm.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

SRM was supported by the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS) (91400/1.B.309.18F), the Maturation Fund of the Walloon Region, and a BEWARE Fellowship (Convention $n^{\circ}2110034$) of the Walloon Region (Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions of the European Union - COFUND - contract 847587), as a Postdoctoral Researcher. DM acknowledges KU Leuven Postdoctoral Mandate Internal Funds (PDM) for a Postdoctoral fellowship (PDM/20/092). TV acknowledges financial support from the Hercules Foundation. BK and BB acknowledge financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (grant III 45016). BK, BB and DM acknowledge the support of the Office of Naval Research Global through the Research Grant N62902-221-2024. In addition, BK

acknowledges support from FRS-FNRS. This research used resources of the Lasers, Optics & Spectroscopies (LOS) Technology Platform (htt ps://platforms.unamur.be/los) of UNamur.

References

- M. Pavan, M. Vachon, Sur l'existence d'une substance fluorescente dans les téguments des scorpions (Arachnides), C. R. Acad. Sci. 239 (1954) 1700–1702.
- [2] K. Tani, F. Watari, M. Uo, M. Morita, Fluorescent properties of porcelain-restored teeth and their discrimination, Mater. Trans. 45 (2004) 1010–1014.
- [3] P. Vukusic, I. Hooper, Directionally controlled fluorescence emission in butterflies, Science 310 (2005) 1151.
- [4] A. Iriel, M.G. Lagorio, Is the flower fluorescence relevant in biocommunication? Naturwissenschaften 97 (10) (2010) 915–924.
- [5] A. Iriel, M.G. Lagorio, Implications of reflectance and fluorescence of *Rhododendron* indicum flowers in biosignaling, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 9 (2010) 342–348.
- [6] V.L. Welch, E. Van Hooijdonk, N. Intrater, J.-P. Vigneron, Fluorescence in insects, Proc. SPIE 8480 (2012), 848004.
- [7] M.G. Lagorio, G.B. Cordon, A. Iriel, Reviewing the relevance of fluorescence in biological systems, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 14 (2015) 1538–1559.
- [8] D.F. Gruber, J.P. Gaffney, S. Mehr, R. DeSalle, J.S. Sparks, J. Platisa, V. A. Pieribone, Adaptive evolution of eel fluorescent proteins from fatty acid binding proteins produces bright fluorescence in the marine environment, PLoS One 10 (11) (2015) e0140972.
- [9] D.F. Gruber, E.R. Loew, D.D. Deheyn, D. Akkaynak, J.P. Gaffney, W.L. Smith, M. P. Davis, J.H. Stern, V.A. Pieribone, J.S. Sparks, Biofluorescence in catsharks (Scyliorhinidae): fundamental description and relevance for elasmobranch visual ecology, Sci. Rep. 6 (24751) (2016) 1–16.

- [10] S.R. Mouchet, M. Lobet, B. Kolaric, A.M. Kaczmarek, R. Van Deun, P. Vukusic, O. Deparis, E. Van Hooijdonk, Controlled fluorescence in a beetle's photonic structure and its sensitivity to environmentally induced changes, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 283 (1845) (2016).
- [11] J. Marshall, S. Johnsen, Fluorescence as a means of colour signal enhancement, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 372 (1724) (2017).
- [12] C. Taboada, A.E. Brunetti, F.N. Pedron, F. Carnevale Neto, D.A. Estrin, S.E. Bari, L. B. Chemes, N. Peporine Lopes, M.G. Lagorio, J. Faivovich, Naturally occurring fluorescence in frogs, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114 (14) (2017) 3672–3677.
- [13] P. Deschepper, B. Jonckheere, J. Matthys, A light in the dark: the discovery of another fluorescent frog in the Costa Rican rainforests, Wilderness Environ. Med. 29 (3) (2018) 421–422.
- [14] S.R. Mouchet, A.M. Kaczmarek, D. Mara, R. Van Deun, P. Vukusic, Colour and fluorescence emission of *Euchroea auripigmenta* beetle, Proc. SPIE 10965 (2019) 72–82.
- [15] M. Ladouce, T. Barakat, B.-L. Su, O. Deparis, S.R. Mouchet, Scattering of ultraviolet light by avian eggshells, Faraday Discuss. 223 (2020) 63–80.
- [16] M. Ladouce, T. Barakat, B.-L. Su, O. Deparis, S.R. Mouchet, UV scattering by pores in avian eggshells, Proc. SPIE 11481 (2020) 101–109.
- [17] M. Mohd Top, C.L. Puan, M.-F. Chuang, S.N. Othman, A. Borzée, First record of ultraviolet fluorescence in the bent-toed gecko *Cyrtodactylus quadrivirgatus* taylor, 1962 (Gekkonidae: Sauria), Herpetol. Notes 13 (2020) 211–212.
- [18] A.C. Croce, Light and autofluorescence, multitasking features in living organisms, Photochemistry 1 (2) (2021) 67–124.
- [19] L. Reinhold, Mammals with fluorescent fur: observations from the wet tropics, North Queensl. Nat. 51 (2021) 1–8.
- [20] S.L.D. Toussaint, J. Ponstein, M. Thoury, R. Métivier, D.C. Kalthoff, B. Habermeyer, R. Guilard, S. Bock, P. Mortensen, S. Sandberg, P. Gueriau, E. Amson, Fur glowing under ultraviolet: *in situ* analysis of porphyrin accumulation in the skin appendages of mammals, Integr. Zool. 0 (2021) 1–12.
- [21] S.R. Mouchet, O. Deparis, Natural Photonics and Bioinspiration, Artech House, 2021.
- [22] P.R. Stoddart, P.J. Cadusch, T.M. Boyce, R.M. Erasmus, J.D. Comins, Optical properties of chitin: surface-enhanced Raman scattering substrates based on antireflection structures on cicada wings, Nanotechnology 17 (3) (2006) 680.
- [23] M. Sun, A. Liang, Y. Zheng, G.S. Watson, J.A. Watson, A study of the anti-reflection efficiency of natural nano-arrays of varying sizes, Bioinspiration Biomimetics 6 (2) (2011), 026003.
- [24] L. Dellieu, M. Sarrazin, P. Simonis, O. Deparis, J.-P. Vigneron, A two-in-one superhydrophobic and anti-reflective nanodevice in the grey cicada *Cicada orni* (Hemiptera), J. Appl. Phys. 116 (2) (2014), 024701.
- [25] O. Deparis, S.R. Mouchet, L. Dellieu, J.-F. Colomer, M. Sarrazin, Nanostructured surfaces: bioinspiration for transparency, coloration and wettability, Mater. Today Proc. 1S (2014) 122–129.
- [26] C. Verstraete, S.R. Mouchet, T. Verbiest, B. Kolaric, Linear and nonlinear optical effects in biophotonic structures using classical and nonclassical light, J. Biophot. (2019), e201800262.
- [27] A. Yoshida, M. Motoyama, A. Kosaku, K. Miyamoto, Nanoprotuberance array in the transparent wing of a hawkmoth, *Cephonodes hylas*, Zool. Sci. 13 (4) (1996) 525–526.
- [28] A. Yoshida, M. Motoyama, A. Kosaku, K. Miyamoto, Antireflective nanoprotuberance array in the transparent wing of a hawkmoth, *Cephonodes hylas*, Zool. Sci. 14 (5) (1997) 737–741.
- [29] A. Yoshida, Antireflection of the butterfly and moth wings through microstructure, Forma 17 (2) (2002) 75–89.
- [30] P. Vukusic, J.R. Sambles, Photonic structures in biology, Nature 424 (6950) (2003) 852–855.
- [31] I.R. Hooper, P. Vukusic, R.J. Wootton, Detailed optical study of the transparent wing membranes of the dragonfly *Aeshna cyanea*, Opt Express 14 (11) (2006) 4891–4897.
- [32] O. Deparis, N. Khuzayim, A. Parker, J.-P. Vigneron, Assessment of the antireflection property of moth wings by three-dimensional transfer-matrix optical simulations, Phys. Rev. E 79 (2009), 041910.
- [33] D.G. Stavenga, Thin film and multilayer optics cause structural colors of many insects and birds, Mater. Today Proc. 1S (2014) 109–121.
- [34] R. Siddique, G. Gomard, H. Hölscher, The role of random nanostructures for the omnidirectional anti-reflection properties of the glasswing butterfly, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 6909.
- [35] S.R. Mouchet, C. Verstraete, D. Mara, S.V. Cleuvenbergen, E.D. Finlayson, R. Van Deun, O. Deparis, T. Verbiest, B. Maes, P. Vukusic, B. Kolaric, Nonlinear optical spectroscopy and two-photon excited fluorescence spectroscopy reveal the excited states of fluorophores embedded in a beetle's elytra, Interface Focus 9 (1) (2019), 20180052.
- [36] C.G. Bernhard, The insect corneal nipple array. a biological, broad-band impedance transformer that acts as an antireflection coating, Acta Physiol. Scand. 63 (243) (1965) 1–79.
- [37] D.G. Stavenga, S. Foletti, G. Palasantzas, K. Arikawa, Light on the moth-eye corneal nipple array of butterflies, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 273 (1587) (2006) 661–667.
- [38] G. Xie, G. Zhang, F. Lin, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, S. Mu, The fabrication of subwavelength anti-reflective nanostructures using a bio-template, Nanotechnology 19 (9) (2008), 095605.
- [39] Z. Han, Z. Wang, X. Feng, B. Li, Z. Mu, J. Zhang, S. Niun, L. Ren, Antireflective surface inspired from biology: a review, Biosurf. Biotribol. 2 (2016) 137–150.

- [40] I. Zada, W. Zhang, P. Sun, M. Imtiaz, W. Abbas, D. Zhang, Multifunctional, angle dependent antireflection, and hydrophilic properties of SiO₂ inspired by nano-scale structures of cicada wings, Appl. Phys. Lett. 111 (15) (2017), 153701.
- [41] G. Zhang, J. Zhang, G. Xie, Z. Liu, H. Shao, Cicada wings: a stamp from nature for nanoimprint lithography, Small 2 (12) (2006) 1440–1443.
- [42] M. Sun, G.S. Watson, Y. Zheng, J.A. Watson, A. Liang, Wetting properties on
- nanostructured surfaces of cicada wings, J. Exp. Biol. 212 (19) (2009) 3148–3155.
 [43] K.M. Wisdom, J.A. Watson, X. Qu, F. Liu, G.S. Watson, C.-H. Chen, Self-cleaning of superhydrophobic surfaces by self-propelled jumping condensate, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110 (20) (2013) 7992–7997.
- [44] J. Román-Kustas, J.B. Hoffman, J.H. Reed, A.E. Gonsalves, J. Oh, L. Li, S. Hong, K. D. Jo, C.E. Dana, N. Miljkovic, D.M. Cropek, M. Alleyne, Molecular and topographical organization: influence on cicada wing wettability and bactericidal properties, Adv. Mater. Interfac. 7 (10) (2020), 2000112.
- [45] E.P. Ivanova, J. Hasan, H.K. Webb, V.K. Truong, G.S. Watson, J.A. Watson, V. A. Baulin, S. Pogodin, J.Y. Wang, M.J. Tobin, C. Löbbe, R.J. Crawford, Natural bactericidal surfaces: mechanical rupture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells by cicada wings, Small 8 (16) (2012) 2489–2494.
- [46] E.P. Ivanova, J. Hasan, H.K. Webb, G. Gervinskas, S. Juodkazis, V.K. Truong, A. H. Wu, R.N. Lamb, V.A. Baulin, G.S. Watson, J.A. Watson, D.E. Mainwaring, R. J. Crawford, Bactericidal activity of black silicon, Nat. Commun. 4 (2013) 2838.
- [47] T. Diu, N. Faruqui, T. Sjoöström, B. Lamarre, H.F. Jenkinson, B. Su, M.G. Ryadnov, Cicada-inspired cell-instructive nanopatterned arrays, Sci. Rep. 4 (2014) 7122.
- [48] S.M. Kelleher, O. Habimana, J. Lawler, B. O'Reilly, S. Daniels, E. Casey, A. Cowley, Cicada wing surface topography: an investigation into the bactericidal properties of nanostructural features, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 (24) (2016) 14966–14974.
- [49] E. Cockayne, I. The distribution of fluorescent pigments in Lepidoptera, Trans. R. Entomol. Soc. Lond. 72 (1–2) (1924) 1–19.
- [50] K. Kumazawa, S. Tanaka, K. Negita, H. Tabata, Fluorescence from wing of *Morpho sulkowskyi* butterfly, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 33 (1;4A) (1994) 2119–2122.
- [51] T.M. Trzeciak, B.D. Wilts, D.G. Stavenga, P. Vukusic, Variable multilayer reflection together with long-pass filtering pigment determines the wing coloration of papilionid butterflies of the *nireus* group, Opt. Express 20 (8) (2012) 8877–8890.
- [52] B.D. Wilts, T.M. Trzeciak, P. Vukusic, D.G. Stavenga, Papiliochrome II pigment reduces the angle dependency of structural wing colouration in *nireus* group papilionids, J. Exp. Biol. 215 (5) (2012) 796–805.
- [53] J.-P. Vigneron, K. Kertész, Z. Vértesy, M. Rassart, V. Lousse, Z. Bálint, L.P. Biró, Correlated diffraction and fluorescence in the backscattering iridescence of the male butterfly *Troides magellanus* (Papilionidae), Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008), 021903.
- [54] E. Van Hooijdonk, C. Barthou, J.-P. Vigneron, S. Berthier, Detailed experimental analysis of the structural fluorescence in the butterfly *Morpho sulkowskyi* (Nymphalidae), J. Nanophotonics 5 (1) (2011), 053525.
- [55] E. Van Hooijdonk, C. Barthou, J.-P. Vigneron, S. Berthier, Angular dependence of structural fluorescent emission from the scales of the male butterfly *Troïdes magellanus* (Papilionidae), J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 29 (5) (2012) 1104–1111.
- [56] S.N. Gorb, Serial elastic elements in the damselfly wing: mobile vein joints contain resilin, Naturwissenschaften 86 (11) (1999) 552–555.
- [57] E. Appel, S.N. Gorb, Resilin-bearing wing vein joints in the dragonfly *Epiophlebia superstes*, Bioinspiration Biomimetics 6 (4) (2011), 046006.
- [58] E. Appel, L. Heepe, C.-P. Lin, S.N. Gorb, Ultrastructure of dragonfly wing veins: composite structure of fibrous material supplemented by resilin, J. Anat. 227 (4) (2015) 561–582.
- [59] C.-J. Chuang, C.-D. Liu, R.A. Patil, C.-C. Wu, Y.-C. Chang, C.-W. Peng, T.-K. Chao, J.-W. Liou, Y. Liou, Y.-R. Ma, Impact of cuticle photoluminescence on the color morphism of a male damselfly *Ischnura senegalensis* (Rambur, 1842), Sci. Rep. 6 (2016), 38051.
- [60] C.M. Elvin, A.G. Carr, M.G. Huson, J.M. Maxwell, R.D. Pearson, T. Vuocolo, N. E. Liyou, D.C.C. Wong, D.J. Merritt, N.E. Dixon, Synthesis and properties of crosslinked recombinant pro-resilin, Nature 437 (2005) 999–1002.
- [61] M. Burrows, S.R. Shaw, G.P. Sutton, Resilin and chitinous cuticle form a composite structure for energy storage in jumping by froghopper insects, BMC Biol. 6 (41) (2008) 1–16.
- [62] R. Guillermo-Ferreira, E.M. Therézio, M.H. Gehlen, P.C. Bispo, A. Marletta, The role of wing pigmentation, UV and fluorescence as signals in a neotropical damselfly, J. Insect Behav. 27 (1) (2014) 67–80.
- [63] A.R. Spurr, A low-viscosity epoxy resin embedding medium for electron microscopy, J. Ultra. Res. 26 (1) (1969) 31–43.
- [64] J.D. Gullion, T. Gullion, Solid-state NMR study of the cicada wing, J. Phys. Chem. B 121 (32) (2017) 7646–7651.
- [65] T.A.P. Hai, R. Sugimoto, Fluorescence control of chitin and chitosan fabricated via surface functionalization using direct oxidative polymerization, RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 7005–7013.
- [66] D.E. Azofeifa, H.J. Arguedas, W.E. Vargas, Optical properties of chitin and chitosan biopolymers with application to structural color analysis, Opt. Mater. 35 (2012) 175–183.
- [67] S.O. Andersen, Characterization of a new type of cross-linkage in resilin, a rubberlike protein, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 69 (1963) 249–262.
- [68] J. Lakowicz, Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, NY, 1999.
- [69] C. Ramanan, C. Hoon Kim, T.J. Marks, M.R. Wasielewski, Excitation energy transfer within covalent tetrahedral perylenediimide tetramers and their intermolecular aggregates, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 16941–16950.
- [70] B. Kolaric, R.A.L. Vallée, Dynamics and stability of DNA mechano-nanostructures: energy-transfer investigations, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (3) (2010) 1430–1435.

S.R. Mouchet et al.

- [71] M.D. Rabasović, D.V. Pantelić, B.M. Jelenković, S.B. Ćurčić, M.S. Rabasović, M. D. Vrbica, V.M. Lazović, B.P. Ćurčić, A.J. Krmpot, Nonlinear microscopy of chitin and chitinous structures: a case study of two cave-dwelling insects, J. Biomed. Opt. 20 (1) (2015), 016010.
- [72] T. Verbiest, K. Clays, V. Rodriguez, Second-order Nonlinear Optical Characterization Techniques: an Introduction, CRC press, 2009.
- [73] D. Peitsch, A. Fietz, H. Hertel, J. de Souza, D.F. Ventura, R. Menzel, The spectral input systems of hymenopteran insects and their receptor-based colour vision, J. Comp. Physiol. 170 (1) (1992) 23–40.
- [74] D.G. Stavenga, Colour in the eyes of insects, J. Comp. Physiol. 188 (5) (2002) 337–348.
- [75] D.G. Stavenga, Surface colors of insects: wings and eyes, in: Functional Surfaces in Biology: Little Structures with Big Effects, 1, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2009, pp. 285–306.
- [76] K. Lunau, Visual ecology of flies with particular reference to colour vision and colour preferences, J. Comp. Physiol. 200 (6) (2014) 497–512.
- [77] J. Moan, Visible light and UV radiation, in: A. Brune, R. Hellborg, B. Persson, R. Pääkkönen (Eds.), Radiation at Home, Outdoors and in the Workplace, Oslo), Scandinavian Science Publisher, 2001, pp. 69–85.